



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,370	03/17/2004	Charles J. Latham	190250-1890	3796

38823 7590 07/21/2008  
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/  
AT&T Delaware Intellectual Property, Inc.  
600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.  
SUITE 1500  
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994

EXAMINER
----------

FLEISCHER, MARK A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/21/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/802,370

**Applicant(s)**

LATHRAM ET AL.

**Examiner**

MARK A. FLEISCHER

**Art Unit**

3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK A. FLEISCHER.(3) Charles Griggers.(2) Beth Boswell.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Williams, Holmstrom.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the 102 and 103 claim rejections, the proposed amendments and the possible distinctions between the claimed invention and the mandates of IT security governance and general corporate governance viz a vis boards of directors.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth V Boswell/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.